UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA ARMANI E. THOMPSON, No. C-13-4782 EMC (pr) Plaintiff, ORDER OF DISMISSAL v. ALAMEDA COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPT.; et al., Defendants.

On September 3, 2014, mail was sent from the Court to Plaintiff at the address he provided on his initial filing in this action and was returned undelivered on September 11, 2014, marked "return to sender - not in custody." (Docket # 11.) Plaintiff has not provided any address other than the one to which the undeliverable mail was sent. More than sixty days have passed since the mail was returned to the Court undelivered. Plaintiff has failed to comply with Local Rule 3-11(a) which requires that a party proceeding *pro se* must "promptly file with the Court and serve upon all opposing parties a Notice of Change of Address specifying the new address" when his address changes. Local Rule 3-11(b) allows the Court to dismiss a complaint without prejudice when mail directed to a *pro se* party is returned as not deliverable and the *pro se* party fails to send a current "///"

////

Case 3:13-cv-04782-EMC Document 16 Filed 11/17/14 Page 2 of 2

United States District Court
For the Northern District of California

address within sixty days of the return of the undelivered mail. This action is DISMISSED without
prejudice because Plaintiff failed to keep the Court informed of his address in compliance with
Local Rule 3-11(a).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: November 17, 2014

EDWARD M. CHEN United States District Judge